

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF U.S. DELEGATION OF CANADA-UNITED STATES INTERPARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of title 22 United States Code, section 276d, as amended, the Chair appoints as members of the United States delegation of the Canada-United States Interparliamentary Group to be held in New Orleans the following Members on the part of the House: Mr. FASCELL, Florida, chairman; Mr. JOHNSON, California, vice chairman; Mr. GIBBONS, Florida; Mr. HANLEY, New York; Mr. MEEDS, Washington; Mr. BAUCUS, Montana; Mr. OBERSTAR, Minnesota; Mr. FOWLER, Georgia; Mr. BROOMFIELD, Michigan; Mr. McEWEN, New York; Mr. WINN, Kansas; and Mr. STANGELAND, Minnesota.

SOCIAL SECURITY HONOR ROLL

(Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

SOCIAL SECURITY ONE-THIRD, ONE-THIRD, ONE-THIRD GENERAL REVENUE FUNDING—THE BURKE PROPOSAL

Mr. BURKE of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, today I am publishing the first list of those who's names will appear on the Social Security Honor Roll for 1978. Names will be published once a week. They are Members of Congress who have cosponsored the one-third, one-third, one-third general revenue funding legislation I have filed calling for restructuring of the social security system.

If your name is not on this list, I hope you will hasten to join because the American people are looking for a reduction in the regressive social security taxes that has caused high unemployment in this Nation in every field of endeavor—the steel industry, auto, electronic, textile, footwear, hats, handbags, bicycle, and others. The high payroll tax has been the cause of increased prices for American made goods, higher utility rates and even affects farmers in the United States.

If your name is not included on this list, it will be published next week when new Members are added to the Social Security Honor Roll.

If you have joined as a cosponsor, and your name does not appear on this list, it will be published next week when new Members are added to the Social Security Honor Roll.

The list follows:

SOCIAL SECURITY HONOR ROLL

Hon. Joseph Addabbo, New York.
Hon. Frank Annunzio, Illinois.
Hon. Edward P. Boland, Massachusetts.
Hon. Edward P. Beard, Rhode Island.
Hon. Mario Biaggi, New York.
Hon. Jonathan B. Bingham, New York.
Hon. James J. Blanchard, Michigan.
Hon. David Bonior, Michigan.
Hon. William M. Brodhead, Michigan.
Hon. Yvonne Brathwaite Burke, California.
Hon. Charles J. Carney, Ohio.
Hon. Shirley Chisholm, New York.
Hon. William (Bill) Clay, Missouri.
Hon. Silvio O. Conte, Massachusetts.
Hon. John Conyers, Jr., Michigan.
Hon. James C. Corman, California.

Hon. Ronald V. Dellums, California.
Hon. Ron de Lugo, Virgin Islands.
Hon. Robert F. Drinan, Massachusetts.
Hon. Joseph D. Early, Massachusetts.
Hon. Joshua Ellberg, Pennsylvania.
Hon. Frank E. Evans, Colorado.
Hon. John G. Fary, Illinois.
Hon. Walter F. Fauntroy, District of Columbia.
Hon. Daniel J. Flood, Pennsylvania.
Hon. James J. Florio, New Jersey.
Hon. Harold E. Ford, Tennessee.
Hon. Joseph M. Gaydos, Pennsylvania.
Hon. Albert Gore, Jr., Tennessee.
Hon. Tennyson Guyer, Ohio.
Hon. Margaret M. Heckler, Massachusetts.
Hon. James M. Hanley, New York.
Hon. Michael Harrington, Massachusetts.
Hon. Augustus F. Hawkins, California.
Hon. Barbara Jordan, Texas.
Hon. Joseph A. Le Fante, New Jersey.
Hon. Edward J. Markey, Massachusetts.
Hon. Parren J. Mitchell, Maryland.
Hon. Joe Moakley, Massachusetts.
Hon. Anthony Toby Moffett, Connecticut.
Hon. Austin J. Murphy, Pennsylvania.
Hon. Morgan F. Murphy, Illinois.
Hon. Robert N. C. Nix, Pennsylvania.
Hon. Richard Nolan, Minnesota.
Hon. Edward W. Pattison, New York.
Hon. Jerry Patterson, California.
Hon. Carl D. Perkins, Kentucky.
Hon. Melvin Price, Illinois.
Hon. Charles B. Rangel, New York.
Hon. Frederick W. Richmond, New York.
Hon. Peter W. Rodino, Jr., New Jersey.
Hon. Charles Rose, North Carolina.
Hon. Benjamin S. Rosenthal, New York.
Hon. George E. Shipley, Illinois.
Hon. John F. Seiberling, Ohio.
Hon. Paul Simon, Illinois.
Hon. B. F. Sisk, California.
Hon. Bernard J. St. Germain, Rhode Island.
Hon. Stephen J. Solarz, New York.
Hon. Portney H. (Pete) Stark, California.
Hon. Louis Stokes, Ohio.
Hon. Gerry E. Studds, Massachusetts.
Hon. Frank Thompson, Jr., New Jersey.
Hon. Paul E. Tsongas, Massachusetts.
Hon. James Weaver, Oregon.
Hon. Lester L. Wolff, New York.
Hon. Antonio Borja Won Pat, Guam.
Senator William Hathaway, Maine.
Senator Don Riegle, Michigan.

SPECIAL ORDER ON PUBLIC WORKS AND PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT

(Mr. CONABLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CONABLE. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday of this week I am scheduling a special order to consider the effects of the public works and public service employment programs on the national economy and on the economic and governmental relations climate of our own districts. When these programs first went into effect we had serious problems with them. The President recommended and Congress accepted an expansion of these programs as a large part of his \$10 billion economic stimulus proposals at the beginning of this Congress. It was alleged that we had reformed the problems out of these programs when the stimulus package was enacted, and at least a substantial part of the package is likely to be extended or reenacted in revised form this year. Before we assume success, as the President did in his state of the Union message, from the improvement of the economy, I hope my colleagues

will find time to check the statistics and compare their own experiences. My special order is an invitation to start this process, and I hope many will participate.

TRIBUTE TO FRANK CULLEN BROPHY

(Mr. RUDD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RUDD. Mr. Speaker, Arizona lost one of the pioneer guiding forces in the development and growth of our State last Friday, with the passing of Frank Cullen Brophy at the age of 83.

In addition to his leadership in Arizona's banking industry, and in mining, produce sales, ranching, and farming, Mr. Brophy was a generous philanthropist who furthered education and health care for our people. He was an early and firm supporter of Arizona political leaders who have also been dominant on the national scene.

Mr. Speaker, it is a mark of this gentle, creative, and energetic man's great contribution to Arizona that tributes to him have been forthcoming throughout this past weekend from every quarter of our State's leadership and citizenry.

A front-page story in the Arizona Republic, the State's largest statewide newspaper, and a column eulogizing Mr. Brophy by that paper's highly respected editor, Frederick S. Marquardt, are indicative of the reverence for this truly great Arizonan.

I feel a great personal loss at the passing of Frank Cullen Brophy, who it was my privilege to know as a friend and counselor.

I know that I speak for his many friends and admirers in Arizona and throughout the Nation when I say that Frank Brophy will be sorely missed. We are thankful for what he gave us throughout his life, and for his great family that will carry on the proud Brophy tradition.

Mr. RHODES. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RUDD. I yield to the distinguished minority leader.

(Mr. RHODES addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

Mr. RUDD. Mr. Speaker, I thank our distinguished minority leader.

INDIVIDUAL TAX RELIEF ACT OF 1978

(Mr. SCHULZE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHULZE. Mr. Speaker, last week Treasury Secretary Blumenthal appeared before the Ways and Means Committee to present the administration's tax proposals. The Secretary's statement and his responses to questions posed by myself and other members of the committee brought to light the need for certain additional modification to the tax code and the way it is administered.

Therefore, I am today introducing the Individual Tax Relief Act of 1978 which will make certain that taxpayers are not overtaxed due to inflation and will restore an element of fairness to the administration of the Internal Revenue Code.

Specifically the bill will:

First. Provide for an annual inflation adjustment to the income tax tables and exemption;

Second. Provide for the payment of interest to taxpayers at 6 percent on the amount by which their withholding exceeds actual tax liability;

Third. Provide a \$100 tuition tax credit per household for attendance at elementary, secondary or vocational schools or at an institution of higher learning;

Fourth. Forgive all of the capital gain on the sale of a principal residence for taxpayers who have reached the age 65; and

Fifth. Exclude from income the first \$100 of interest.

As a recent Roper poll has indicated, approximately 64 percent of the American taxpaying public feels the tax system is unfair. The Individual Tax Relief Act of 1978 will help to restore fairness and the respect of taxpayers for the system.

COMMUNICATIONS ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1978

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 7442) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to provide for the regulation of utility pole attachments, with a Senate amendment to the House amendment to the Senate amendment thereto, recede from House amendments Nos. 1, 2, and 3, and concur in the Senate amendment to House amendment No. 4.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the House amendments to the Senate amendment, as follows:

Page 1, strike out all after line 4 over to and including line 8 on page 7.

Page 7, line 9, strike out "Sec. 5.", and insert Sec. 2.

Page 7, line 13, strike out Sec. 5 and insert Sec. 3.

Page 10, strike out all after line 6 over to and including line 12 on page 11.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment to House amendment No. 4, as follows:

Resolved, That the Senate disagree to the amendments of the House of Representatives numbered 1, 2, and 3 to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7442) entitled "An Act to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to provide for the regulation of utility pole attachments."

Resolved, That the Senate agree to the amendment of the House of Representatives numbered 4 to the amendment of the Senate to the above-entitled bill with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the amendment of the House of Representatives numbered 4 to the amendment of the Senate insert:

SEC. 7. The amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the thirtieth day after the date of enactment of this Act; except that the provisions of sections 503(b)

and 510 of the Communications Act of 1934, as in effect on such date of enactment, shall continue to constitute the applicable law with respect to any act or omission which occurs prior to such thirtieth day.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I would ask my friend and colleague from California if this is the same bill that was sent over by unanimous consent last week?

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. It is.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, could my colleague indicate what has happened over there? Did it come back in substantially the same form?

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield further, no. The troublesome amendment the Senate had added is one that dealt with international common carrier communications. The House has held no hearings on this matter. There has been no action by the House of any kind. The Senate sent the bill back with one amendment intact. It authorizes the Federal Communications Commission to impose penalties and forfeitures on cable operators for violation of FCC regulations and raises the ceiling on fines for other licensees, such as broadcasters and land mobile operators.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, is the latter amendment a new amendment that was attached in the Senate?

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. If the gentleman will yield, it is an amendment which was not in the House bill. It went over to the Senate late last year. It is precisely the same amendment that was part of the language of a House bill that failed to get to the floor in the last week of the 94th Congress.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, there is nothing that is nongermane that has been attached to this amendment or in any other way has changed the House position?

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. In specific response to the gentleman, I would have to say that the amendment that the Senate has left on the bill, which is satisfactory to the committee on this side, is nongermane to the subject of the bill sent over by the House. The Senate has exercised its prerogative of nongermaneness, and we are willing to accept its language in order to move the legislation.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

Mr. CARTER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I would like to ask the nature of this nongermane amendment attached by the Senate, if you please.

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, the major portion of the legislation goes to granting cable operators of the Nation a forum at the Federal level for the resolution of pole attachment disputes.

The amendment that the Senate has attached grants the Federal Communications Commission the right to impose,

as it now has the right to impose on broadcasters; penalties and forfeitures against cable operators who violate FCC rules and regulations.

Mr. CARTER. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, I would say that I have closely followed cable television. There are a great many cable television companies throughout our country. I see an increasing tendency of them to be gathered together under one group. In fact, I submit, Mr. Speaker, that within the next few years, just as we have only 3 major T.V. broadcasting companies, it is extremely likely that we will have only two or three major cable television companies.

Further, Mr. Speaker, I would say that in instances in which cable television companies have purchased recently, and in the district I represent, immediately after purchase up goes the price.

Mr. Speaker, I am alarmed at what is going on in this area, and I would like the Members of this body to take cognizance of what is really going on in my home town. The cost per month of cable television went up from \$6 to \$7.25. In Casey County, immediately after purchase by another company, again the cost went up \$1 per month. In Knox County, further east in the district, the cost went up more.

Mr. Speaker, I want to see the people of our country served and served as reasonably as possible, but I do not want to see them ripped off. With the promise of the distinguished gentleman from California, the chairman of the Communications Committee, that he will see to it that they are not ripped off, I will not object.

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. Mr. Speaker, I grant the gentleman that assurance.

Mr. CARTER. The gentleman gives me that assurance.

Mr. Speaker, I have been noticing this. I would ask that the gentleman take this up with the FCC, to see that our people are not exploited by large companies. Furthermore, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the gentleman to see that all of the cable television companies are not gathered together into one huge combine.

Mr. Speaker, do I have that promise?

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. Mr. Speaker, let me assure the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. CARTER) that I have been thinking along those lines myself, and the gentleman's words will be helpful to us in bringing this matter to the attention of both the Commission and the cable television industry.

Mr. CARTER. With that assurance, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XXVII, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on each